

BAYESIAN MODELLING FOR BENEFIT-RISK BALANCE ANALYSIS: ROSIGLITAZONE FOR TYPE II DIABETES

K. Vamvourellis, K. Kalogeropoulos, L. Phillips



DRUG REGULATION

Rosiglitazone is drug used, since 2000, for the treatment of Type II Diabetes. **Data that subsequently emerged about possible cardiovascular risks** resulted in the suspension of the drug in Europe and restriction in the US.

This study follows up from the work of two research groups* that did a Multiple-criteria decision analysis to assess the clinical effects of Rosiglitazone. The model focuses on 11 effects for which clinical trial data is collected.

Favorable Effects (2)	Unfavorable Effects (9)
Binary: - <i>Glycaemic efficacy</i>	Binary: - CHF - CV death - Non-CV death - MI - Stroke - Macular oedema - Bone fractures - Bladder cancer
Continuous: - <i>Microvascular events</i>	Continuous: - <i>Weight gain</i>

Each subject i receives a score S which is a function $f_j(Y_j)$ of the measured effects Y_j , weighted by their importance w_j

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{11} w_j f_j(Y_j)$$

* Phillips, L.D., et al (2013). IMI Work Package 5: Report 2:b:ii Benefit - Risk Wave 2 Case Study Report: Rosiglitazone

OUR MODEL

The j^{th} effect, if binary, is modeled as:

$$\begin{cases} Y_{ij} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\eta_j), \\ h_j(\eta_j) = Z_{ij}, \end{cases}$$

where the link function h is the logit function.

Whereas continuous effects are observed directly, and are modeled as:

$$Y_{ij} = Z_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

The augmented variables are modeled as a J-variate Gaussian distribution, with a covariance matrix Σ :

$$Z_{i:} \sim \mathcal{N}_J(\mu_J, \Sigma),$$

The challenge here is that this parametrization of the problem does not have an identifiable likelihood[†].

[†] Talhouk, A., Doucet, A. and Murphy, K. (2012). *Efficient Bayesian inference for multivariate probit models with sparse inverse correlation matrices.*

MCMC INFERENCE

We parametrize the problem in terms of the correlation matrix R by scaling all features to have variance 1 and use the following Gibbs algorithm:

- $\pi(Z|\mu, R, Y)$ sample each row (y, z) with a Metropolis-Hastings algorithm using

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z|y, \mu, R) &\propto f(y|z) \cdot \pi(z|\mu, R) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^J \sigma(z_j)^{y_j} (1 - \sigma(z_j))^{(1-y_j)} \cdot \mathcal{N}(z|\mu, R) \end{aligned}$$

- $\pi(R|\mu, Z)$ sample $R = D\Sigma D$ where $W = ZD$ and $\pi(\Sigma|W) = \mathcal{IW}(\Sigma; 2 + N, W'W + I_J - \xi^{-1}M'M)$

- $\pi(\mu|R, Z)$ sample using a conjugate Gaussian prior

- $\pi(\sigma_c|\mu_c, Y_c)$ variance of the continuous features is sampled using a conjugate Inverse Gamma prior

This algorithm identifies the correct values, as we confirmed with simulated experiments, but there is room for improvement in its mixing.