

#### **Economics of antimicrobial resistance**

			<b>T</b> .	.7			2		1990 - S		1			۳.				
•	(*		*		•	*	×				•			•	•		×	
÷	4		•	•	•		•	×	*		•	•		•	*	141	•	
÷		*	÷	÷	*		÷	•	*		÷		•	÷.	÷	•		
•		(*)	۰.		*		•			*	۰.			۰.	•	*		
*	•		•		*	1.4	•		(a))	*	÷			×	•	(# );		
÷			•	2	*		•	÷		*		2		•	÷		•	
2						*	-							£			2	



# What is antimicrobial resistance?

## What are antimicrobials?



- Microbes are tiny organisms like bacteria, virus, or fungus, that cause infections.
- Antimicrobials kill or stop microbes from growing. The most important antimicrobials are antibiotics which were first used in 1941.
- In this talk I will focus primarily on antibiotic resistance.





Source: Armstrong et al. Trends in Infectious Disease Mortality in the United States During the 20th Century (1999)

#### Antibiotics sustain health systems

- They have greatly reduced deaths from infections
- Allow surgery, transplants, oncology care
- Like water, they allow a health system to thrive



Image: Public Broadcasting Service

CENTER FOR GLOBAL

## Following science requires good economics



"It is not difficult to make microbes resistant to penicillin in the laboratory by exposing them to concentrations not sufficient to kill them[.]" Alexander Fleming, 1945



- For almost as long as we've known about antibiotics, we've known about resistance, and how to stop it.
- Economic failures undermine our response.





#### A common pool problem:

Our stock of effective antibiotics is a finite resource for which there is no effective mechanism to moderate demand. The result is a tragedy of the commons: everyone uses antibiotics a little too much, and we will be left with none that work.

#### The Pool of Effective Antibiotics is Drying Up



CENTER FOR



### Five failures holding the pipeline back

- 1. Antibiotics are public goods (non rivalrous, non-excludable)
- 2. The social and private value of new antibiotics are poorly aligned
- 3. Regulatory failures exacerbate these market failures
- 4. Antibiotics have an insurance value that we do not pay for
- 5. Market fails to provide access to treatments

CENTER FOR GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT

## Four failures causing excessive demand

- 1. Infection control is also a public good
- 2. Mismatching problem
- 3. Negative externalities: environmental pollution
- 4. Negative externalities: Antibiotic use in agriculture





		 <b>T</b> .	.*			2				t.			<b>t</b> .			*	•	
						×			*	•			•	•	*	*	×	
*		•				•			*	•	•	(a)	•		141	*	•	
÷		ř		÷.		÷	•		÷	÷	٠	1	÷.	÷	*	•		
•	4	 •		×.		۰.			ĸ	*.			۰.	,	•	*		
•	•	 •		(# )	(a.)	•	•	1.00	*	•	+	(4)	÷	•	(# ))		•	
•	4	•		*		÷			*		2		•		÷	•	•	
													1					



### Understanding the economic impact of AMR



• Research as part of a consortium



- IHME projected the future burden of AMR
- CGD led work on the economic burden of resistance in human health



## What are IHME's results?



- IHME's results are based on:
  - 1. Estimating historical rates of ABR from 1990-2021
  - 2. Projecting forward those trends to 2050
  - 3. Adjusting results for demographic changes
  - 4. Generating intervention scenarios and a pessimistic scenario
- They expect the percentage of infections that are resistant to increase
- But this will be roughly cancelled out by a reduction in the total number of infections
- The age profile of people dying will get much older





- 1. Business-as-usual scenario assumes that resistance follows historical trends
- 2. Better treatment of bacterial infections is provided
- 3. Increased innovation and roll-out of gram-negative antibiotics
- 4. Better treatment is provided, along with increased innovation
- 5. Better treatment is provided; increased innovation; and improved access to vaccines, sanitation and clean water
- 6. An accelerated rise in resistance scenario that assumes resistance increases at the rate of the bottom 15% of countries





			τ.	.7	12	1.00	2.				n.		<b>T</b> 1					
						(*)	*				•		•				×	
*		14									÷	-	•		Sach.		•	
÷	÷	*	÷		*			÷	*	÷	÷	+	÷	÷				
•	+		*:	.*			•			×.	•		۰.	,	*			
			*		(# )	(			(a)	(a);			*	*	14.1	-		
ł,	4	*		4						*								
2	1						-										2	



### **Health Model**

### **Overall modelling strategy**



Estimate cost per inpatient admission based on a literature review of over 234 relevant studies and 911 data points

Generate estimates for 11 indications in 204 countries; building a model to impute missing variables

Estimate current total direct inpatient cost of ABR by combining cost per patient estimates with estimates of hospitalisation from IHME and a second literature review

Estimate scenarios of future inpatient cost of ABR based on IHME burden scenarios

## Cost estimates for an admission with a resistant infection





### Healthcare costs: methods



 Treating drug-resistant tuberculosis is <u>ten times</u> more expensive; other diseases <u>double</u>



#### Resistant infections are far more prevalent in low- and middle-income countries



Figure shows percentage of hospital admissions that involve an antibioticresistant infection



•			6		S	t	S		ir	1	С	r	e		E	S	e	into	the	futu	re
		*		1		•				*.			•	.+					-		
*	*	÷	4	*		÷	•	•	. •	÷		1	÷	÷	•						
2		•								÷	•		•	4			•				
	*			*		*								•		1.00					
		12	a.	17	1.00	•		1.00		÷			τ.				-				

#### CENTER FOR GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT

- Total treatment cost for people in hospital with ABR infections is between <u>USD</u>
- Of this <u>USD 66 billion</u> is caused by resistance.

150 billion

- Following historical resistance rates will see a large increase of spending on AMR.
- Costs rising to \$159bn in 2050.





### Tackling AMR will save money



			•		2	.*.	2							<b>*</b> .			*	•	
	*		*)			(*)	×	×		*	•			•	•		*	×	
*			•			*	•	÷		*		•	: <b>a</b> (	÷		141	*	•	
5	•	*	÷		÷.		÷	5	•	*	÷		*	÷.	÷	*	•	*	
•	,		•		*	•	۰.			*	•	÷		•	,				
•	•		•		*	(#1)	•		· • • •	*		•				* 1		•	
ł,	4		÷	*	•	*	•	3				*			2	*	•	•	
2												4						2	



### **Macroeconomic impact**

D		
· · · · ·	Direct impact	on labour market
· · · · ·		
· · · ·	$(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_3,x_3,x_3,x_3,x_3,x_3,x_3,x_3,x_3$	
* * * *		



- Labour force participation: about 1/5<sup>th</sup> of population fall. Most people are too old or too young to work
- Morbidity: only about 5% of the health burden from AMR (according to IHME)
- Care responsibility: Close to zero given morbidity
- Bereavement: impact of death on friends and family, through absenteeism and presenteeism

## Other parts of the economy will be disrupted



Anthony McDonnell | 21st of January 2025 | CGDev.org

CENTER FOR GLOBAL

**EVELOPMENT** 

## Expert assessment on the impact of AMR on tourism





### Impact will vary by country



- Some countries were thought to be better able to withstand the shock from resistance.
- Particularly wealthy, good health systems, stronger state capacity



			Ċ.			0		C	E		S				2		n	1	a	K	e	
•	•	(*)								*	۰.			*:	*	(*)		*	3			
÷		٠	÷	•	*		٠		•		÷	٠	*	÷	÷	*	.*	•				
				•	*				÷				1	÷		14		•				
							×							*				×				
			*		17	1.00	2		1.00		<b>3</b>	.7	1	τ.								





now impact cost

(Billion USD)

## Burden falls harder on poorer people

 While in absolute terms these costs hit HICs the hardest, relatively the impact is hardest on LMICs



. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

#### **Cheaper to combat AMR**





			<b>*</b> :		1	.*.	7.		.*.		÷.	.7		•			.**	•	
÷	*				*	(*)	*	•			•			•				×	
*			•					•		*	•		1	e -		141		*	
÷	8	*	÷	÷	*		÷		•	*	÷		•	÷	÷	*	•		
•	+		•				ς			×	•			•	,	•	*		
*	•		•	•	(* ) 	(*)	•		- a. :	ж: Г	•	*		*	•	14 C	*		
•	2	*	÷		*		•			*	*	2		•		e.	•	•	
																		1	



### Discussion